REMARKS

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of June 25, 2009, and

in response to the Office Action dated January 6, 2009, claims 1, 2, 5, 8-10 and 12-19

have been amended. Claims 1-19 are pending in the application.

In paragraph 3 on page 4 of the Office Action, claims 1-19 were rejected

under 35. U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Knudson in view of Hendricks

and in further view Funahashi.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection, but in the interest of expediting

prosecution has amended claims.

Claim 1 sets forth providing a set of more than two on-demand programs and

packaging the set into a subset having at least two on-demand programs of the set of

on-demand programs. A user interface for visually presenting a plurality of

selectable objects for user selection is provided including a first selectable object for

selecting subscription-on-demand services to cause subscription-on-demand packages

to be visually presented in response to selection of the first object by a user. The

subscription-on-demand packages visually presented includes at least the subset of

the at least two on-demand programs as a subscription-on-demand package. A

selection of one of the subset of the at least two on-demand programs is received and

said selection is immediately provided in its entirety if a price of said selection is zero

or an option to purchase the selection a la carte is provided if said price of said

selection is non-zero.

In contrast, Knudson merely discloses programs presented to a user in a

program guide, e.g. a grid. The user may select a program to watch from the program

guide. Sometimes a program is part of a package. If the program is part of a

package, the user may pay for the package when the user selects the program so that

user may watch the selected program in accordance to the package constraints.

Thus, according to Knudson, the user selects a program from a program guide.

Knudson does not disclose providing a user interface that presents selectable objects

for user selection so that the user can select from the objects subscription-on-demand

services. Knudson does not disclose the user selecting an object representing

subscription-on-demand services to cause subscription-on-demand packages to be

visually presented.

Thus, Knudson fails to disclose, teach or suggest the invention as defined in

independent claims 1, as amended.

Hendricks fails to overcome the deficiencies of Knudson. Hendricks merely

discloses a system for managing programming and control signals at a head-end.

Hendricks discloses different program types including video-on-demand. However,

Hendricks fails to suggest subscription-on-demand packages.

More particularly, Hendricks fails to disclose providing a user interface that

presents selectable objects for user selection so that the user can select from the

objects subscription-on-demand services. Hendricks also does not disclose the user

selecting an object representing subscription-on-demand services to cause

subscription-on-demand packages to be visually presented.

Thus, Knudson and Hendricks, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach

or suggest the invention as defined in independent claims 1, as amended.

Funahashi fails to overcome the deficiencies of Knudson and Hendricks.

Funahashi is merely cited as disclosing some pay programs free of charge. However,

like Knudson and Hendricks, Funahashi suggest subscription-on-demand packages.

Funahashi fails to disclose providing a user interface that presents selectable objects

for user selection so that the user can select from the objects subscription-on-demand

services. Funahashi also does not disclose the user selecting an object representing

subscription-on-demand services to cause subscription-on-demand packages to be

visually presented.

Thus, Knudson, Hendricks and Funahashi, alone or in combination, fail to

disclose, teach or suggest the invention as defined in independent claims 1, as

amended.

Dependent claims 2-19 are also patentable over the references, because they

incorporate all of the limitations of the corresponding independent claim 1. Further

dependent claims 2-19 recite additional novel elements and limitations. Applicant

reserves the right to argue independently the patentability of these additional novel

aspects. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that dependent claims 2-19 are

patentable over the cited references.

On the basis of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully

submitted that the claims are in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly,

reconsideration of this application and its allowance are requested.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/922,910

Notice of Non-compliant Amendment dated June 25, 2009

Reply to Notice of Non-compliant Amendment of July 20, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0091USC1

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning

this communication, please contact Attorney for Applicant, David W. Lynch, at 865-

380-5976. If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account

No. 13-2725 for any additional fee required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17;

particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Merchant & Gould P.O Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-2903 865-380-5976

23552

2332 PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE By

Name: David W. Lynch

Reg. No.: 36,204